

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,893	03/16/2004 Gi-ho Park		5649-1159	3389
75	90 10/12/2006		EXAM	INER
Robert N. Crouse			NGUYEN, THAN VINH	
Myers Bigel Sib	ley & Sajovec			
Post Office Box 37428			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Raleigh, NC 27627			2187	

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/801,893	PARK, GI-HO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Than Nguyen	2187
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final.  ance except for formal matters, pro	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4	03 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	÷	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-37 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 15-19 and 29-37 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 20-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers	·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 March 2004 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	🗀	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/24/06.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2187

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This is a response to the amendment, filed 7/24/06.
- 2. Claims 1-13,15-37 are pending.
- 3. The IDS, filed 7/24/06, has been considered.
- 4. In response to the amendment, the previous rejections to claims 14-19,10-22, and 25 are withdrawn.
- 5. Applicant has amended claim 15 to include allowable subject matter indicated in the previous office action. Accordingly, claims 15 and its dependents (16-19) are allowable.
- 6. Claims 29-37 were previously allowed.

## Response to Amendment/Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 7/24/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. Applicant argues that Shirotori does not teach accessing the cache memory during a low clock cycle. The Examiner disagrees. Applicant claims accessing a DFS cache memory during an idle time in a single low frequency DFS clock cycle. The Examiner interprets this language to mean accessing a cache memory during a low clock cycle (when a clocked signal is low). Shirotori clearly teaches this limitation. Fig. 8/9 of Shirotori clearly shows that, when clocked signals CLK, PLS, or SIGNAL A is low, DATA is retrieved/accessed from cache memory 2 (Fig. 8-9; 6/16-60). Thus, the Examiner maintains that Shirotori teaches the claimed limitation of accessing the cache memory during a low clock cycle.

Art Unit: 2187

- 9. Applicant argues that Shirotori does not teach accessing a cache memory responsive to a miss. The Examiner disagrees. Shirotori teaches checking tag hit/miss (HIT CHECK; Fig. 8-9). Shirotori also teaches accessing mishits /misses and continuing accessing the cache memory after mishits/misses (6/37-60). Thus the Examiner maintains that Shirotori does teach accessing a cache memory responsive to a miss/mishit.
- 10. Applicant argues that Shirotori does not teach accessing the cache memory during an active time and a low cycle (amended claim 20). The Examiner has addressed this new limitation in the claims rejection. Shirotori also teaches accessing the cache memory during an active/high cycle (TAG accessed when CLK is high; Fig. 8-9) and when the clock cycle is low (when clocked signals CLK, PLS, or SIGNAL A is low, DATA is retrieved/accessed from cache memory 2 (Fig. 8-9; 6/16-60).
- 11. The rejection to the claims under Shirotori is maintained.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2187

Page 4

13. Claims 1-13, 20-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shirotori et al (US 5,920,888).

As to claim 1,2,11,20,21,26,27:

14. Shirotori teaches accessing a [DFS] cache memory during an [idle] time in a [single low frequency] clock cycle (access cache using low frequency to reduce power consumption; Fig. 8-9; 8/5-27, 6/21-30). Shirotori also teaches accessing the cache memory during an active/high cycle (TAG accessed when CLK is high; Fig. 8-9).

As to claim 3,12,22,28:

15. Shirotori teaches the idle time comprises a time interval between the completion of a high frequency DFS clock cycle and completion of a low frequency DFS cycle (Fig. 8; standby mode; 8/10-15).

As to claim 4-6,10,23-25:

16. Shirotori teaches accessing a first cache memory at a first time and second cache memory upon a miss at a second time (Fig. 8-10; accessing cache memory).

As to claim 7,13:

17. Shirotori teaches the single low frequency DFS clock cycle being a time interval between two time adjacent rising or falling DFS clock edges having no intervening clock edges (Fig. 8; 6/16-36).

As to claim 8,9:

18. Shirotori teaches the cache memory having two frequency modes (high/low access modes; Fig. 8-10; 6/20-7/5).

Art Unit: 2187

## Allowable Subject Matter

19. Claims 15-19, and 29-37 are allowable for reasons indicated in the previous office action.

#### **Conclusion**

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Than Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4198. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-3pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on (571) 272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2187

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Than Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2187

Ð